Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 (2017) 12LT02 (9pp)

https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa5fcf

Letter

Traveling solitons in long-range oscillator chains

George Miloshevich¹, Jean Pierre Nguenang², Thierry Dauxois³, Ramaz Khomeriki^{4,6} and Stefano Ruffo⁵

¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, United States of America

² Fundamental Physics Laboratory: Group of Nonlinear Physics and Complex Systems, Department of Physics, University of Douala, PO Box 24157, Douala, Cameroon

³ Univ. Lyon, ENS de Lyon, Univ. Claude Bernard, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon, France

⁴ Department of Physics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences,

Tbilisi State University, 0128 Tbilisi, Georgia

⁵ SISSA and INFN, via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: khomeriki@hotmail.com

Received 29 September 2016, revised 6 February 2017 Accepted for publication 10 February 2017 Published 24 February 2017



Abstract

We investigate the existence and propagation of solitons in a long-range extension of the quartic Fermi–Pasta–Ulam (FPU) chain of anharmonic oscillators. The coupling in the linear term decays as a power-law with an exponent $1 < \alpha \leq 3$. We obtain an analytic perturbative expression of traveling envelope solitons by introducing a non linear Schrödinger equation for the slowly varying amplitude of short wavelength modes. Due to the non analytic properties of the dispersion relation, it is crucial to develop the theory using discrete difference operators. Those properties are also the ultimate reason why kink-solitons may exist but are unstable, at variance with the short-range FPU model. We successfully compare these approximate analytic results with numerical simulations for the value $\alpha = 2$ which was chosen as a case study.

Keywords: long range interactions, envelope solitons, kinks

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

⁶ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

1751-8121/17/12LT02+9\$33.00 © 2017 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK



1. Introduction

The study of the equipartition process in the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam–Tsingou (FPU) model of nonlinearly coupled oscillators [1–3] has led to important discoveries in both statistical mechanics [4, 5] and nonlinear science [6]. At the same time, nonlinear oscillator chains serve as the simplest prototypes for complex condensed matter systems [7, 8] and biophysical phenomena [9, 10]. In particular, the study of FPU chains has historically motivated the discovery of solitons [11, 12]. Further developments, namely nonintegrable (Klein–Gordon [13] and Frenkel–Kontorova [14]) and integrable Toda [15] chains helped much in understanding the interplay between integrability and chaos [1]. These concepts have been applied to describe transport properties in electric transmission lines [16] and even in quantum systems, such as Josephson junction parallel arrays and lattices [17, 18]. In most cases, the analysis was restricted to one-dimensional (d = 1) lattices where oscillators interact only with nearest neighbors, i.e. to short-range interactions.

In recent years there has been a growing interest in systems with long-range interactions. In such systems, either the two-body potential or the coupling at separation r decays with a power-law $r^{-\alpha}$. When the power α is less than the dimension of the embedding space d, these systems violate additivity, a basic feature of thermodynamics, leading to unusual properties like ensemble inequivalence, broken ergodicity, quasistationary states [19, 20].

Long-range coupled oscillator models have been previously introduced to cope with dipolar interactions in mechanistic DNA models [21]. They describe also ferroelectric [22] and magnetic [23] systems, where the long-range coupling is provided again by dipolar forces [24]. Other candidates for application are cold gases: dipolar bosons [25, 26], Rydberg atoms [27], atomic ions [28, 29]. Moreover, one can mention optical wave turbulence [30] and scale-free avalanche dynamics [31], where such long-range couplings appear. The extension of the FPU problem to include long-range couplings is rarely considered [32–34] (but see [35, 36] for the cases of oscillators with more than two neighbors) and attention has been mainly focused on deriving the continuous counterpart of the discrete long range models [33], on considering thermalization properties caused by the long-range character of the interactions [34, 37], or on finding conditions for the existence of standing localized solutions like breathers [32].

In this Letter, we consider a generalization of the FPU model by introducing a long-range coupling in the linear term decaying with the power α , while keeping the nonlinear term short-range. We have chosen the power in the range $1 < \alpha \leq 3$, where we obtain qualitatively similar results. Below $\alpha = 1$ the energy diverges and above $\alpha = 3$ the systems becomes short-range. Dipolar systems correspond to the power $\alpha = 3$, while the power $\alpha = 2$ has been considered for crack front propagation along disordered weak planes between solid blocks [31] and contact lines of liquid spreading on solid surfaces [38].

2. Methods

The Hamiltonian of the model reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=-N}^{N} \frac{p_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j>\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(u_j - u_\ell)^2}{|j-\ell|^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{(u_{n+1} - u_n)^4}{4}$$
(1)

and the corresponding equations of motion are

$$\ddot{u}_{\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{u_j - u_{\ell}}{|j - \ell|^{\alpha}} + (u_{\ell+1} - u_{\ell})^3 + (u_{\ell+1} - u_{\ell})^3$$
(2)





Figure 1. Main plot: dispersion relation (4) in the linear limit $A \rightarrow 0$ for discretized values of the wavenumber $q_n = 2\pi n/N$, N = 512. Top inset: the first discrete derivative of the dispersion v_g (group velocity). Lower inset: the second discrete derivative Ω'' .

Assuming plane wave solutions of the form

$$u_{\ell} = (A/2)e^{i(q\ell - \Omega t)} + c.c.$$
 (3)

and substituting them into the equations of motion, we obtain the nonlinear dispersion relation in rotating wave approximation (RWA) for the normal mode frequencies Ω_n and wave numbers q_n

$$(\Omega_n)^2 = 2\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1 - \cos(q_n m)}{m^\alpha} + 3|A|^2 (1 - \cos q_n)^2.$$
(4)

This dispersion relation in the linear limit $A \rightarrow 0$ is shown in the main plot of figure 1. The insets show its first derivative v_g (group velocity) and second derivative Ω'' . Derivatives are performed by discrete differences for a sufficiently large finite value of N with step size $h = 2\pi/N$. In all calculations below we choose to use power-law exponent $\alpha = 2$ without loss of generality, since we have tried several values from the range $1 < \alpha \leq 3$ and they all display similar properties. In particular, both the group velocity v_g and Ω'' diverge when $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the zero wavenumber limit $q_n \rightarrow 0$.

Let us concentrate first our attention on the solitons that appear at small wavelength. As usual [39], we represent the solution as an expansion in normal modes

$$u_{\ell} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} C_n e^{i(q_n \ell - \Omega_n t)} + c.c. \right].$$
(5)

Focusing on the carrier wavenumber q^0 of the wave packet and the associated frequency Ω^0 in the limit $A \to 0$, i.e. $\Omega^0 \equiv \Omega (q^0, A = 0)$, and defining $\Omega_n = \Omega^0 + \epsilon^2 \delta \Omega_n$, $q_n = q^0 + \epsilon \delta q_n$, we get an expression of the form of equation (3)

$$u_{\ell} = \epsilon \left[A(\zeta, \tau) e^{i(q^0 \ell - \Omega^0 t)} + \text{c.c.} \right].$$
(6)

where the envelope function $A(\zeta, \tau)$, is a slowly varying function in space $\zeta = \epsilon \ell$ and time $\tau = \epsilon^2 t$. Its expression is

$$A(\zeta,\tau) = \sum_{n} C_{n} e^{i(\delta q_{n}\zeta - \delta \Omega_{n}\tau)}.$$
(7)

Letters

Taking the time derivative of formula (7) we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} A(\zeta, \tau) = \sum_{n} C_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\delta q_{n} \zeta - \delta \Omega_{n} \tau)} \left[-i\delta \,\Omega_{n} \left(q_{n} \right) \right]. \tag{8}$$

Expanding now $\epsilon^2 \delta \Omega_n(q_n) = \Omega_n(q_n) - \Omega^0(q^0)$ around the value q_n^0 and A = 0 we get approximately

$$\delta \Omega_n(q_n) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\epsilon \delta q_n)^s}{\epsilon^2 s!} \frac{\Delta_h^{(s)} \left[\Omega^0(q^0)\right]}{h^s} + |A|^2 \frac{\partial \Omega_n(q^0)}{\partial (|A|^2)} \bigg|_{A=0}$$
(9)

where $\Delta_h^{(s)}$ is the difference operator of order *s* with step size $h = 2\pi/N$ in the limit A = 0. We will restrict ourselves to difference operators of first and second order: $\Delta_h^{(1)} [\Omega^0] \equiv \Omega^0 (q^0 + h) - \Omega^0 (q^0)$ and $\Delta_h^{(2)} [\Omega^0] \equiv \Omega^0 (q^0 + h) + \Omega^0 (q^0 - h) - 2 \Omega^0 (q^0)$. Then, substituting (9) into (8) and taking into account the definition (7), we get the following nonlinear equation for the amplitude $A(\zeta, \tau)$

$$\mathbf{i}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau} + \frac{v_g}{\epsilon}\frac{\partial A}{\partial \zeta}\right) + \frac{\Omega''}{2}\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \zeta^2} - R|A|^2 A = 0,\tag{10}$$

where the definitions below have been introduced

$$v_{g} = \Delta_{h}^{(1)} [\Omega^{0}] / h, \qquad \Omega'' = \Delta_{h}^{(2)} [\Omega^{0}] / h^{2},$$

$$R = \partial \Omega_{n} (q^{0}) / \partial (|A|^{2}) \Big|_{A=0} = 3(1 - \cos q^{0})^{2} / 2 \Omega^{0}.$$
(11)

Now, switching to a comoving reference frame with a rescaled time *t* and space ξ where $\xi = \epsilon(\ell - v_g t)$, we get the Non Linear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$i\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\Omega''}{2}\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial\xi^2} - R|A|^2 A = 0$$
(12)

This equation has the well known one-soliton solution for A, which can be inserted in expression (6) to get

$$u_{\ell}(t) = \frac{f \cos\left[\left(\Omega^{0} + \frac{3(1-\cos q^{0})^{4}}{4\Omega^{0}}f^{2}\right)t - q^{0}\ell\right]}{\cosh\left[f(1-\cos q^{0})^{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Omega_{n}^{0}\mid\Omega''\mid}}(\ell-v_{g}t)\right]},$$
(13)

where f stands for the soliton amplitude.

It is noteworthy to mention that the semi-discrete approach [40–42] based on the continuous reductive perturbation theory [43] fails in the derivation of the soliton profile (13). In particular, a derivation similar to [40] leads to the same NLS equation (12), but with a different dispersion coefficient containing continuous derivatives $\Omega'' = \partial^2 \Omega^0 / \partial q^2$ instead of difference operators as given in equation (11). The appearance of continuous derivatives causes a divergences of both the group velocity v_g and Ω'' . Indeed, considering continuous derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Omega^0}{\partial q^2} = \frac{1}{\Omega^0} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\cos(qm)}{m^{\alpha-2}} - \frac{1}{[\Omega^0]^3} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\sin(qm)}{m^{\alpha-1}} \right),\tag{14}$$



Letters

Figure 2. Left panel: displacement field u_l as a function of site number *l* at times t = 0 (blue) and t = 3000 (red) for different carrier wavenumbers: $q^0 = \pi/16, \pi/8$ and $\pi/2$ (from top to bottom). Right panel: space-time evolution of the displacement field u_k represented in color code.

the first term on the right-hand side is divergent for $\alpha = 2$. Specifically, it oscillates as a function of both q and N. In summary, the approach in [40–43] does not lead to the correct expression for the soliton parameters. The correct approach relies on a discrete wave-packet dynamics [39] and on the use of discrete difference operators, as done in this Letter.





Figure 3. Left panels: time evolution of solitonic solution (13) in mode space (top) and in real space (bottom). Right panel: time evolution of the single mode in mode space (op) and in real space (bottom). For better visualization only the first 125 modes are displayed, all other modes carry almost zero energy.

3. Results

We have performed numerical simulations of the set of equations (2) with periodic boundary conditions, using solution (13) as an initial condition (t = 0), i.e. we consider $u_{\ell}(t = 0)$ as initial displacements and $\dot{u}_{\ell}(t = 0)$ as initial velocities. In figure 2 we display the time-evolution of this initial condition for three different wavenumbers, approaching $q^0 = 0$ from bottom to top. As predicted by linear theory the group velocity v_g increases when q^0 decreases. At the same time, the width of the soliton grows as well and thus the wave amplitude must increase in order to keep the soliton within the lattice length limits.

Traveling envelope solitons are robust against perturbations and we do not observe their destruction on a long time scale, while single carrier mode excitation with the same amplitude



Figure 4. Left panel: displacement pattern with initial kink-antikink solution (15) with amplitude A = 20 at different time: t = 0, t = 50 and t = 500. Right panel: color code representation of the evolution of the displacement pattern in space-time of the same kink-antikink pair. As clearly seen, the kink-antikink pair survives up to time t = 200 after which the system goes to a chaotic regime.

is modulationally unstable. This instability is presented in figure 3. The soliton shape (left panel) remains unchanged up to the time $t = 10^4$, while the single mode excitation (right panel) collapses on a much shorter time interval.

One has to mention that the effective nonlinearity parameter in our model is $f\sqrt{R/|\Omega''|}$ and we can therefore increase the wave amplitude f in the small carrier wavenumber limit without violating the weak nonlinearity restriction. Let us note that, in the end, we get the nonlinear Schroedinger equation (12), which has a nonlinear coefficient R. Thus, if the latter is small, one can take large amplitude excitations and proceed by using the same formalism developed in the present paper. This is indeed justified by numerical simulations: When one has small carrier wavenumber, the nonlinear coefficient R appears to be small and, as seen in figure 3 (top left panel), one can take large amplitudes, namely close to f = 2. Beyond this weak nonlinearity limit, traveling envelope solitons become unstable or they are trapped by the lattice and transform into standing breather solutions [32].

The existence of other weakly nonlinear localized solutions, like kink-solitons, is limited by the fast increase of the group velocity in the low wavenumber limit. In fact, low wavenumber excitations, which should in principle generate kink-solitons, are characterized by drastically different velocities and they cannot form localized wave packets obeying the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) or the modified KdV equations [11, 40, 44, 45]. These problems appear as well in the case of the analytic description of envelope solitons.

However, at strong nonlinearity, kink-soliton solutions with 'magic' wavenumber $2\pi/3$ exist. Extended waves with that particular wavenumber are exact solutions of model (2). It has been proposed that such exact solutions can acquire a compact support and maintain their validity as approximate solutions [46, 47]. These truncated wave solutions can be written in terms of relative displacements as follows

$$u_{\ell+1} - u_{\ell} = \pm \frac{A}{2} \left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\ell - \omega t\right) \right]$$
(15)

for $|2\pi\ell/3 - \omega t| < \pi$ and $u_{\ell+1} - u_{\ell} = 0$ for $|2\pi\ell/3 - \omega t| > \pi$, where



$$\omega \equiv \Omega \left(q = 2\pi/3, A \right) = \sqrt{(\Omega_{N/3}^0)^2 + (45/16)A^2}$$
(16)

and the supersonic kink is characterized by the group velocity $v = 3\omega/2\pi$. We have considered here these approximate analytical kink-antikink solutions (15) as initial conditions. As far as we use periodic boundary conditions, it is impossible to consider single kink motion: we have thus monitored the dynamics of kink-antikink pairs (see figure 4). As it appears from numerical simulations, although the dynamics follows approximately the solution (15), the kinks are much less robust against the collisions with perturbative excitations than it happens in the case of envelope solitons. At the beginning the kink shape remains unchanged, but the kinkantikink motion creates perturbations in the lattice and those inhomogeneities finally cause the destruction of the kink solution.

4. Conclusions

Concluding, we have analytically found moving soliton solutions in a long-range version of the FPU model (2). Those are weakly nonlinear envelope solitons and strongly nonlinear kink-soliton solutions. Envelope solitons show stable propagation along the lattice at variance with kink-solitons which collapse on a short time scale. Numerical simulations confirm the validity of the analytic approximate solutions.

Acknowledgments

The work is supported in part by contract LORIS (ANR-10-CEXC-010-01). RKh acknowledges financial support from Georgian SRNSF (grant Nos FR/25/6-100/14 and 216662) and travel grants from Georgian SRNSF and CNR, Italy (grant No 04/24) and CNRS, France (grant No 04/01).

References

- Campbell D K, Rosenau P and Zaslavsky G M 2005 The 'Fermi–Pasta–Ulam' problem: the first fifty years Chaos 15 (focus issue)
- [2] Gallavotti G (ed) 2008 The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam Problem: a Status Report (Berlin: Springer)
- [3] Dauxois T 2008 Phys. Today 61 55
- Dauxois T and Ruffo S 2008 Fermi–Pasta–Ulam nonlinear lattice oscillations *Scholarpedia* **3** 5538 [4] Izrailev F M and Chirikov B V 1966 *Sov. Phys.*—*Dokl.* **11** 30
- [5] Livi R, Pettini M, Ruffo S, Sparpaglione M and Vulpiani A 1985 Phys. Rev. A 28 3544
- [6] Scott A C (ed) 2005 Encyclopedia of Nonlinear Science (New Yourk: Routledge)
- [7] Campbell D K, Flach S and Kivshar Y S 2004 Phys. Today 57 43
- [8] Flach S and Willis C R 1995 Phys. Rep. 295 181
- [9] Takeno S and Homma S 1983 Prog. Theor. Phys. 70 308
- [10] Peyrard M (ed) 1995 Nonlinear Excitations in Biomolecules (Berlin: Springer)
- [11] Zabusky N J and Kruskal M D 1965 Phys. Rev. Lett. 15 240–3
- [12] Dauxois T and Peyrard M 2005 *Physics of Solitons* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [13] Abdullaev F and Konotop V V (ed) 2005 Nonlinear Waves: Classical and Quantum Aspects (NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry vol 153) (Dordrecht: Springer)
- [14] Braun O M and Kivshar Y S 2004 The Frenkel-Kontorova Model: Concepts, Methods, and Applications (Berlin: Springer)
- [15] Toda M 1967 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 22 431
 Toda M 1978 Theory of Nonlinear Lattices (Berlin: Springer)



- [16] Ricketts D S and Ham D 2011 Electrical Solitons: Theory, Design, and Applications (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press)
- [17] Binder P, Abraimov D, Ustinov A V, Flach S and Zolotaryuk Y 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 745
- [18] Chevriaux D, Khomeriki R and Leon J 2006 Phys. Rev. B 73 214516
- [19] Campa A, Dauxois T T and Ruffo S 2009 Phys. Rep. 480 57
- [20] Campa A, Dauxois T, Fanelli D and Ruffo S 2014 Physics of Long-Range Interacting Systems (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [21] Gaididei Y B, Mingaleev S F, Christiansen P L and Rasmussen R O 1997 *Phys. Rev.* E 55 6141
 Mingaleev S F, Christiansen P L, Gaididei Y B, Johansson M and Rasmussen K O 1999 *J. Biol. Phys.* 25 41
 Cuevas J, Palmero F, Archilla J F R and Romero F R 2002 *Phys. Lett.* A 299 221
 - Cuevas J, Painiero F, Archina J F K and Komero F K 2002 Phys. Lett. A 299 221
- [22] Picinin A, Lente M H, Eiras J A and Rino J P 2004 Phys. Rev. B 69 064117
- [23] Varon M *et al* 2013 *Sci. Rep.* **3** 1234 Roser M R and Corruccini L R 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** 1064
- [24] Miloshevich G, Dauxois T, Khomeriki R and Ruffo S 2013 Europhys. Lett. 104 17011
- [25] Gori G, Macry T and Trombettoni A 2013 Phys. Rev. E 87 032905
- [26] Lahaye T, Menotti C, Santos L, Lewenstein M and Pfau T 2009 Rep. Prog. Phys. 72 126401
- [27] Heidemann R et al 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 033601
 Schauss P et al 2012 Nature 491 87
- [28] Bachelard R and Kastner M 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 170603
 Eisert J, van den Worm M, Manmana S and Kastner M 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 260401
- [29] Richerme P *et al* 2014 Nature **511** 198Jurcevic P *et al* 2014 *Nature* **511** 202
- [30] Picozzi A, Garnier J, Hansson T, Suret P, Randoux S, Millot G and Christodoulides D N 2014 Phys. Rep. 541 1
- [31] Laurson L et al 2013 Nat. Commun. 4 2927
 Bonamy D, Santucci S and Ponson L 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 045501
- [32] Flach S 1998 *Phys. Rev.* E **58** R4116 Flach S 1998 *Physica* D **113** 184
- [33] Tarasov V E and Zaslavsky G M 2006 Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 11 885
- [34] Christodoulidi H, Tsallis C and Bountis T 2014 Europhys. Lett. 108 40006
- [35] Martinez-Farias F, Panayotaros P and Olvera A 2014 Eur. Phys. J. 323 2943
- [36] Martinez-Farias F and Panayotaros P 2016 *Physica* D 335 10
- [37] Miloshevich G, Nguenang J P, Dauxois T, Khomeriki R and Ruffo S 2015 Phys. Rev. E 91 032927
- [38] Joanny J F and De Gennes P G 1984 J. Chem. Phys. 81 552-62
- [39] Boyle J W, Nikitov S A, Boardman A D, Booth J G and Booth K 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 12173
- [40] Khomeriki R 2002 Phys. Rev. E 65 026605
- [41] Giorgadze N and Khomeriki R 1999 Phys. Rev. B 60 1247
- [42] Chotorlishvili L, Khomeriki R, Sukhov A, Ruffo S and Berakdar J 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 117202
- [43] Taniuti T and Yajima N 1969 J. Math. Phys. 10 1369
- [44] Kosevich Y A 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 3138Kosevich Y A 1993 Phys. Rev. B 48 3580
- [45] Poggi P, Ruffo S and Kantz H 1995 Phys. Rev. E 52 307
- [46] Kosevich Y A 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 2058
- [47] Kosevich Y A, Khomeriki R and Ruffo S 2004 Europhys. Lett. 66 21